

EXHIBIT 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Case No.
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;) 17-cv-00939-WHA
OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,)
Defendants.)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL--OUTSIDE COUNSEL'S EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
WILLIAM TREICHLER
San Francisco, California
Monday, August 14, 2017
Volume I

Reported by:

MARY J. GOFF

CSR No. 13427

Job No. 2674484

PAGES 1 - 276

Page 153

1 A I don't remember.

01:38:40

2 Q Do you remember what you were working on
3 in November 2016?

01:38:42

01:38:44

4 A I don't.

01:38:47

5 Q It says that, Florin, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

01:38:58

8 channels.

01:39:01

9 So in Fuji, there are [REDACTED],

01:39:02

10 correct?

01:39:04

11 A There are [REDACTED], yeah.

01:39:05

12 Q There's [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

01:39:09

14 A Correct.

01:39:13

15 Q So there's [REDACTED] in Fuji?

01:39:13

16 You just testified to that, right?

01:39:15

17 A There are -- well, yeah, if you don't

01:39:17

18 count the [REDACTED].

01:39:20

19 Q And [REDACTED], right?

01:39:23

20 A Right. So here we talk about [REDACTED]

[REDACTED].

01:39:33

22 Q Well, let me clarify. I'm talking about

01:39:36

23 the [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] capable of receiving object reflected

01:39:43

25 light.

01:39:46

Page 154

1	A	Yes.	01:39:46
2	Q	Is there [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
3	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	01:39:50
4	A	There is.	01:39:50
5	Q	And there's [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
6	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	01:39:52
7	A	There is.	01:39:53
8	Q	And you testified that there are, in fact,	01:39:53
9	[REDACTED]	in Fuji, right?	01:39:55
10	A	There are [REDACTED], yeah.	01:39:58
11	Q	But if we go back and talk about the	01:40:01
12		transmit side, you will agree me with me, correct,	01:40:03
13		there are [REDACTED],	01:40:06
14		right?	01:40:08
15	A	Yeah.	01:40:09
16	Q	And there are [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
17	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED], right?	01:40:11
18	A	Yep.	01:40:12
19	Q	But if I ask you if there are [REDACTED]	01:40:13
20		boards in Fuji, what would your answer be?	01:40:15
21		MR. KIM: Objection, form.	01:40:18
22		MS. HYDE: Join.	01:40:19
23	A	I would say there's [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
24	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED].	01:40:22
25	Q	(BY MR. NARDINELLI) Why is it that when I	01:40:24

Page 155

1	ask you about the transmit boards, you say there's	01:40:26
2	[REDACTED];	01:40:27
3	but when I ask you about receive boards, you say	01:40:28
4	there's [REDACTED] in Fuji?	01:40:30
5	MR. KIM: Objection, form.	01:40:34
6	MS. HYDE: Join.	01:40:35
7	A It seems -- it seems equivalent.	01:40:36
8	Q (BY MR. NARDINELLI) [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
9	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
10	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
11	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
12	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
13	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
14	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
15	[REDACTED]	01:41:04
16	A Let's see.	01:41:05
17	MR. KIM: Objection, form.	01:41:25
18	MS. HYDE: Join.	01:41:26
19	A I guess I don't know the detail of the	01:41:30
20	design.	01:41:32
21	Q (BY MR. NARDINELLI) Sure. That's okay.	01:41:33
22	It says that, The photodetector was -- and I will	01:41:34
23	skip the name of the vendor to maybe save some poor	01:41:37
24	associate one page of sealing for once in this case.	01:41:40
25	The photodetector was designed because its	01:41:44

1 I, MARY J. GOFF, CSR No. 13427, Certified
2 Shorthand Reporter of the State of California,
3 certify;

4 That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5 before me at the time and place herein set forth, at
6 which time the witness declared under penalty of
7 perjury; that the testimony of the witness and all
8 objections made at the time of the examination were
9 recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter
10 transcribed under my direction and supervision; that
11 the foregoing is a full, true, and correct
12 transcript of my shorthand notes so taken and of the
13 testimony so given;

14 That before completion of the deposition,
15 review of the transcript (XX) was () was not
16 requested: () that the witness has failed or
17 refused to approve the transcript.

18 I further certify that I am not financially
19 interested in the action, and I am not a relative or
20 employee of any attorney of the parties, nor of any
21 of the parties.

22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the
23 laws of California that the foregoing is true and
24 correct, dated this 15th day of August 2017.

25 <%signature%>

MARY J. GOFF

CSR No. 13427